

**COTTAGE GROVE CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
September 28, 2009**

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Gary Williams called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers at City Hall.

ROLL CALL/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

COUNCIL PRESENT: Mayor Gary Williams, City Councilors Mike Fleck, Jeff Gowing, Thomas Munroe, Heather Murphy, Wayne Clark and Diane Conrad

STAFF PRESENT: City Manager Richard Meyers, Police Chief Mike Grover, Finance Director Bert McClintock, Public Works Director Jan Wellman, Community Services Director Pete Barrell, Howard Schesser, Community Development Director and Amanda Ferguson, City Planner

CITY ATTORNEY: Sean Kelly

OTHERS PRESENT: None

ITEMS TO BE ADDED TO THE AGENDA

7(d) Concerns from the City Council

8(a) Letter of Support Not to Change CDBG Method of Distribution for 2010 Grant Period and move the current Item 8(a) Report from the City Manager to 8(b)

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILOR MUNROE AND SECONDED BY COUNCILOR FLECK TO ACCEPT THE ITEMS ADDED TO THE AGENDA.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

VOTE	Councilor Fleck	Councilor Gowing	Councilor Munroe	Councilor Murphy	Councilor Clark	Councilor Conrad	Mayor Williams
AYES	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
NAYS							

ABSTAIN							
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PUBLIC HEARINGS

(a) Public Hearing on Establishing (BP) Business Park District in Chapter 14 of the Cottage Grove Development Code, City of Cottage Grove

Howard Schesser, Community Development Director, stated the hearing was the next step after Council adopted the Resolution to amend the Comprehensive Plan to provide for the business park district. Planning Commission considered the issue on June 17, 2009 and no one spoke at the hearing and there was only one piece of correspondence that was received. He noted that Councilor Fleck contacted him last Friday and they discussed the actual zone in relationship of what would be designated.

Mayor Williams opened the public hearing.

No one appeared to speak.

Mayor Williams closed the public hearing.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS

None

RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES

(a) First Reading of Ordinance for Development Code Text Amendment to Amend Title 14 To Establish BP Business Park District (DCTA-1-09)

Howard Schesser, Community Development Director, advised that the public hearing on the proposed ordinance had been held and Council had received a copy of the Planning Commission report. Staff was recommending the ordinance be introduced, read once by title only and following discussion be brought before Council at the next meeting for the second reading and consideration for adoption.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILOR MUNROE AND SECONDED BY COUNCILOR FLECK THAT ORDINANCE NO. 2986 BE INTRODUCED, READ ONCE BY TITLE ONLY AND FOLLOWING DISCUSSION, IT BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE COUNCIL AT THE NEXT MEETING FOR A SECOND READING AND CONSIDERATION FOR ADOPTION.

Councilor Fleck highlighted the items that he had discussed with Howard. He stated he shared some of the concerns from Cathy Bellavita who had submitted the letter to the Planning Commission back in June, 2009, and would like Council to take a further look at it before

implementing it.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

VOTE	Councilor Fleck	Councilor Gowing	Councilor Munroe	Councilor Murphy	Councilor Clark	Councilor Conrad	Mayor Williams
AYES	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
NAYS							
ABSTAIN							

City Attorney, Sean Kelly, read Ordinance No. 2986 by title.

(b) Resolution Approving the Agreement with ODOT for Administration of 5311 Funds for South Lane Wheels

Howard Schesser, Community Development Director, said at a meeting in August Council had directed staff to accept responsibility for the transfer of the funds from LTD to the City regarding South Lane Wheels for their ride around town program. Part of that required that the City receive a portion of the grant and in order to make that transfer an agreement needed to be signed between the City and ODOT. Staff recommended the Resolution be approved.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILOR FLECK AND SECONDED BY MUNROE THAT COUNCIL APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 1719.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

VOTE	Councilor Fleck	Councilor Gowing	Councilor Munroe	Councilor Murphy	Councilor Clark	Councilor Conrad	Mayor Williams
AYES	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
NAYS							
ABSTAIN							

(c) Resolution Establishing Policy for Use of the Tennis Court Fence for Advertising of Community Events

Howard Schesser, Community Development Director, advised Council that the City had been getting an increased number of requests to use the tennis court fence for advertising community events. The primary areas currently used were the banner across Main Street and the electronic reader board on Hwy 99, which had policies adopted for their use. He said that people are wanting to use the tennis court fence as their first choice due to the volume of traffic in that area which sometimes is not appropriate, an example being if there is nothing downtown then that location should be the first for placement of a banner. Staff had looked at the current policies

and have developed a policy that if there is an event in the park then the tennis court fence can be used for no more than 14 days or if there is currently a banner on Main Street then the tennis court fence could be used.

Councilor Munroe said it seemed that the signs and banners were getting out of control and asked where the City wanted to go with this and if there was going to be a limit?

Howard stated that historically since allowing a banner downtown the tennis court fence has been used occasionally when there were too many events going on. He said there was only 1 staff person assigned to put up the banners on Main Street and they don't have time to be putting them up and down. It was hard to juggle banners when there were too many events going on in any given Month. The City would like to install another electronic reader board near Coiner Park but didn't have the funds at this time.

Councilor Fleck asked about 2(v) "message shall not promote any alcohol or tobacco product" and wanted an interpretation as there are fund raisers that were put on by wineries, etc.

Howard stated it was the same procedure they use for downtown banners.

Councilor Murphy asked why they would rather have them placed downtown than on the fence. She understood there was a lot more traffic coming out of Safeway and some of the events aren't held in Coiner Park but it is nice to see the banner advertising the event.

Howard said the problem was that the City gets multiple requests at the same time and if you start getting banners that were no bigger than 2 feet you have a lot of clutter on the fence. They try to coordinate between the downtown Main Street location and the tennis court fence and limit the time they are up.

Councilor Murphy said if it took a bucket truck to put up and take down the signs on Main Street, couldn't they just place the signs themselves on the tennis court fence? Staff responded yes.

Councilor Clark stated that when the electronic board was put up, Coiner Park was looked at as a potential site. One reason the board was originally put up was to limit the number of signs being put up around town. His preference would be to not have any signs put up on the tennis court fence. He asked if there was a limit to how many signs can be up at one time.

Howard said that not in the proposed Resolution, but normally not more than two banners at one time. Right now there was no policy to regulate the number of banners that can be put up.

Councilor Clark said that as the Resolution moved forward that he would like to see the number of banners limited as to how many could be put up at one time.

Mayor Williams asked if it should be the number of signs or the square footage.

Councilor Conrad read a portion of the Development Code that had to do with characteristics of parks and open areas. She said that signs at anytime or near a park did not fit the description of the code and that she could not support the Resolution.

Councilor Munroe said he understood that if Council passed the Resolution, it would give staff the tools to put a limit on some things.

Howard stated yes, that it would give staff the policy to back up current procedures.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILOR MUNROE TO ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 1720.

Motion died for a lack of a second.

Mayor Williams said he supported the Resolution but felt staff needed to refine it and look at some of the issues discussed at the meeting tonight. He stated he liked the idea of the tennis court being used for signage as Cottage Grove is a busy place and there are a lot of good community functions and activities going on. The City had limited resources and limited areas where those can be promoted and he believed that by demonstration of past events and past usage of the fence at Coiner Park it had worked out well. There appeared to be banners across Main Street continuously and the reader board did help advertise events as well. He believed that there was a problem with signs but they were only unsightly in the eye of the beholder.

Councilor Clark he didn't want this to be representative of not supporting groups in the community. He asked if Howard had thought about the number of signs that could be on the fence at one time.

Howard said no more than three.

City Attorney, Sean Kelly, clarified that if Council restricted contents of signs they could run into trouble so it depended on how Council wanted that space used. If it were going to be open for disseminating information on particular meetings of organizations or events and then someone could come and put one up that you may not agree with.

Councilor Murphy stated she was supportive of placing signs on the tennis court fence, she felt it was a good idea to limit the number of signs. She had a problem with 3(ii), that if it saved staff time and someone wanted to put up a smaller banner and there was an application process in place that solved the problem to a certain degree. She also wanted that item to be looked at.

Councilor Conrad stated she hoped that other areas rather than Coiner Park could be examined for putting up signs.

Councilor Fleck stated he was supportive, there were a lot of things going on in town and as long as the banners were tasteful he had no problem. He agreed with Councilor Clark about not letting them get too cluttered.

Councilor Conrad asked to have something about the supervision of the sign so if they are damaged by vandalism or graffiti, who would be responsible for the sign.

Howard explained that if a sign is damaged by graffiti or vandalism, staff removes the sign.

Mayor Williams instructed staff to refine the Resolution with comments from Council and bring the matter back with those revisions.

Howard stated he wasn't clear as to the direction Council was looking at.

Mayor Williams asked Council to contact staff with their specific changes and how they would like the Resolution to look.

Councilor Consensus was it was okay to put signs on the tennis court fence but staff to identify the number and size of signs allowed.

BUSINESS FROM THE CITY COUNCIL

(a) Bohemia Mining Days Report

Sharon Jean, Festival Coordinator of the Bohemia Mining Days (BMD), 33914 Row River Rd., Cottage Grove, provided the annual report to Council. She advised Council this would be her last year, that she had submitted her resignation to the Board of Directors effective December 31, 2009. She commented about how fortunate Cottage Grove was to have the number of groups and individuals that step up to make the events happen. She acknowledged the number of those in attendance that had helped with BMD. She recognized Kathy McGregor, President of the Board of Directors who was present at the meeting. She said that Tom Munroe went in costume to Salem on February 14th and passed out 600 brochures talking about BMD.

She said there were a few glitches but overall the event went very well. The carnival was the weekend before Bohemia Mining Days as carnival companies are getting fewer and they go to the larger venues first. It was a revenue maker so she suggested if the committee didn't want it to be the weekend before they will have to find something to replace it. She thanked Mayor Williams for stepping up and organizing the Mayor's Yodeling and Whistling Contest which they hoped to make a permanent event in the festival and also thanked him for being the City Marshall and giving out tickets and root beer wooden nickels. She mentioned several of the events held during BMD. She advised that there were 95 paid vendors and 21 non-profits. Of the 95 vendors, 40 were from Cottage Grove, 11 from Eugene, 5 from Springfield, 4 from Creswell and 35 others, 4 of which were out of state. With the economy the way it was this year, she said it was great to get as many vendors and as many people attending the festival as they did.

Councilor Clark thanked Sharon for keeping Bohemia Mining Days going and for saving a great community event.

Sharon advised that it was solid and in the black since 2002 and described some of the items they had to sell.

Councilor Munroe said he found out when he went to Salem that people were looking for something in state to attend due to the economy. He took a bag of rocks that were painted like gold with him and spread them on a table and it attracted a crowd of kids and their parents. He commended Sharon on a marvelous job.

Mayor Williams thanked Sharon and the committee and the direction she provided for a number of years for Bohemia Mining Days.

(b) Change of Privilege Liquor License for Lucky 7's Deli LLC

City Manager, Richard Meyers, stated the liquor license for Lucky 7's Deli was changing from a regular limited on premises sales to off premises sale. The necessary back ground investigation was done and there was nothing to note.

Councilor Conrad read a portion of an article from a National League of Cities newsletter titled "What is Your Role in Making Your Community Healthier" regarding an ordinance in another city. The ordinance offered a balance between public interest, promoting the public's health and did not unduly hinder grocery stores which she felt that Council could take that attitude and apply it. She heard John Kitzhaber talk about health and education and about steps in transitional change. She stated she also heard the City Manager for Eugene speak about economics, in which he listed many of his objectives and goals and principles and his first principle was a healthy community. She wondered if this was the week that Council could make their transitional change step toward a healthy community. She hoped that Council could make a statement by saying "In recognition of the health, social and law enforcement issues related to alcohol consumption in Cottage Grove, the City Council takes a compromised position on this application and does so without rejecting the applicant, nor the continuing process of the OLCC of the application."

She reminded Council that if they didn't put anything on the application, then they were not doing anything but if they did make the compromised motion, they were making the transitional step toward health.

COUNCILOR CONRAD MOVED IN RECOGNITION OF THE HEALTH, SOCIAL AND LAW ENFORCEMENT ISSUES RELATED TO ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION IN COTTAGE GROVE, THE CITY COUNCIL TAKES A COMPROMISED POSITION ON THIS APPLICATION AND DOES SO WITHOUT REJECTING THE APPLICANT NOR THE CONTINUING PROCESS OF THE OLCC OF THE APPLICATION.

Councilor Clark asked for point of order. If they could do that or if it was a yes or no.

Richard advised that Council could chose the recommendation to grant or deny on the form but also that OLCC said they could do other things as well.

Councilor Conrad said that the emails she shared with Council contained a statement from Dan McNeal from OLCC that if the City took that position, they would not be hampering the business. There were four options, doing nothing; take the neutral position; recommend or reject.

Councilor Clark asked if it would take a decision by the Council to change the process.

Richard stated there was no process that dictates which option Council chose.

Councilor Conrad restated her Motion.

There was no second on the Motion.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILOR FLECK AND SECONDED BY COUNCILOR MUNROE TO FORWARD A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION TO OLCC.

Councilor Conrad asked what Council understood they were accomplishing with this Motion and not the Motion she proposed.

Councilor Clark stated Council had discussed liquor licenses for the past two years and it seemed that the Council’s feeling on the issue had been that her desire to forward a neutral position was not making the statement she thought it was making.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

VOTE	Councilor Fleck	Councilor Gowing	Councilor Munroe	Councilor Murphy	Councilor Clark	Councilor Conrad	Mayor Williams
AYES	X	X	X	X	X		X
NAYS						X	
ABSTAIN							

(c) Water Leak Adjustment Request and Possible Ordinance Amendment

Mayor Williams disclosed that he was a part owner of the property of discussion and recused himself and turned over the meeting to Council President Munroe and left the council chambers. City Manager, Richard Meyers, provided background of the request from Doug Perkey for a water leak adjustment for a 4 plex with one water meter. He advised that the current policy of the City regarding water leaks was that the leak must be underground. He outlined how the City determined how the adjustment was figured. He stated Mr. Perkey had a good argument in that there were four units with only one meter, therefore it would be hard for someone to determine they had a leak as they could hear water running from the other units and therefore wouldn’t know they had a leak. The leak was fixed as soon as it was realized there was a leak when he received his bill. According to the current ordinance, adjustments can be made only for those

leaks that occur underground. Mr. Perkey was asking Council to consider that his was a multi-family dwelling and was above the ground but under the building and he had no way of knowing there was a leak.

Currently the code stated that Council will or may grant adjustments for those leaks below the surface of the ground, it didn't say Council could or could not make adjustments for other leaks. He asked if Council wished to make an adjustment to Mr. Perkey's water bill.

The Manager stated the second part of the discussion was regarding draft language staff prepared to address the issue that Council might want to consider. The language would only amend Subsection B of the current ordinance and would include language which would take out the council having to deal with the leak adjustments and would leave it up to staff. He said that some cities do not allow for leak adjustments and some do and that the City didn't get a lot of requests for adjustments, generally most of them are repaired quickly.

Councilor Clark asked if there was nothing in the current policy to prevent Mr. Perkey from asking the City for relief. Richard replied no. Councilor Clark asked if the City didn't change the policy and this were to happen again, the quad owner could come and ask for relief.

Richard replied yes. If Council wanted to take that direction, he recommended that the reference to city council be taken out and be replaced with staff and it say one a year for adjustments.

Councilor Murphy stated she agreed with Councilor Clark, she didn't see that there was a necessity for adding multi-family. She appreciated that the city did adjustments and would like to take it out of Council having to approve each adjustment request.

Councilor Fleck stated he would go the other way and asked why we would add single metered, the City would have the same situation on a multi-family home whether they were single meter or multi metered.

Richard advised that with a single meter if you had a duplex and each had their own meter and you had leak under the building, you would hear water was running and know it was on your side. That is why single metered properties would still fall under the underground provisions.

Councilor Fleck stated he agreed that if there were a leak and no one could detect it and it showed up on the water bill, it was reasonable to give some relief.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILOR FLECK AND SECONDED BY COUNCILOR GOWING TO GRANT A BILLING ADJUSTMENT TO 147 JEFFERSON AVENUE OF \$199.53.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

VOTE	Councilor Fleck	Councilor Gowing	Councilor Munroe	Councilor Murphy	Councilor Clark	Councilor Conrad	Mayor Williams
------	--------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------	---------------------	-------------------

AYES	X	X	X	X	X	X	
NAYS							
ABSTAIN							X

Richard stated staff was looking for consensus if Council would like to see the ordinance adjusted. He stated that as the building population ages, it might be something that pops up more frequently as things get older.

Councilor Munroe asked for clarification if it was 4 units with one meter so they were on a solid commercial rate for that one structure. He asked if there was something in the make up that you could stipulate if it was a one metered situation that it would be categorized different than four units each having a meter.

Richard stated that was what the proposed language did.

The Mayor was invited back into the council chambers.

Council President Munroe said as to the adjustments in Section 13.04.19 of the City Code, how say the Council?

Councilor Fleck said he liked the language but was okay with bringing it back to the Council, that he didn't have a strong feeling either way.

Councilor Conrad said she had a concern about the amount that the rest of the taxpayers would be losing and this said that you match last year's bill and if there was any possibility that the leak would have been ongoing for more than a year?

Richard stated it could be and if it were and last year's bill was higher than it should have been the difference between last year and this year is not going to be that big. He gave an example in Mr. Perkey's circumstance.

Councilor Conrad asked if there should be a maximum amount that is credited back.

Richard explained that they could only get a one month credit per calendar year.

Councilor Clark stated he would be interested in staff tweaking the change a bit with new language and citizens always have the right to appeal to Council if they were not in agreement. He said he didn't want a new category set up and doesn't want adjustments to go to the Council.

Richard stated yes. He said it would be nice to have it in writing and refer to the Code when these circumstances arise. He said the proposed language works with staff and is reasonable and easy to manage.

Councilor Conrad asked why it couldn't say any building, why must it say multi-family?

Richard explained that the main purpose for this language change is to make sure that if people have any indication they may have a leak, they need to fix it as soon as possible. In a single family or commercial building that has one water meter they will hear water running and recognize that some kind of leak is going on by the pressure going down and the sound and movement in the pipes. He gave some examples. He also advised that City staff will assist water customers who think they might have a leak. Last year staff checked 106 leak requests.

Councilor Murphy asked if she understood that in a multi-family, one metered building, it would be hard to notice a leak.

Richard stated yes and explained.

Consensus of Council was to prepare the Ordinance with the proposed changes.

(d) Concerns from the City Council

Councilor Munroe asked what the City was going to do about Gibbs Street. It had been discussed and he had talked with some of the property owners and they were in favor of doing it and he had talked with the City Manager and nothing had gone any further. The concept was to shut Gibbs Street off except to a westerly flow, not allow anything Easterly between 9th and 8th Streets to make it a one way street.

Richard said if it was something Council wanted to do staff would bring it forward. With the community center, library and chamber being on that street it had increased the traffic on Gibbs Street.

Councilor Conrad stated she had concerns about two letters received from members of the community. One was a letter that would be discussed in Executive Session and one was from a Mr. Wilson regarding the historic district.

Amanda Ferguson, City Planner, provided the background information regarding the Wilson letter and the Landmark Commission meeting held September 22nd. She explained how the application process worked to recognize the Northeast neighborhood as a National Registry District. It won't be a matter that will be coming to the Councilor for approval or denial. The matter will be reviewed by the State Advisory Council Historic Preservation to make a determination as to whether or not they thought the application had merit on a State level. They would then send it to the National Park Service who would then go through their own process and would make a determination. If 51% of the homeowners submitted notarized letters to the State stating that they did not want their property to be recognized as a National Registry, it would not be made into a National Registry District.

Councilor Conrad stated it seemed from Mr. Wilson's letter that he would be forced to do certain

things and asked Ms. Ferguson to comment. She also asked since his house was not a historic house if he would be forced to do anything.

Amanda outlined the requirements for standards of a national historic district and said that the City's code would apply as well as the State and Federal requirements and there would be a review process they would have to go through. The standards applied to the entire district but there were different thresholds for what you could and could not do based on if your property was contributing or non contributing. There were about 260 properties in the neighborhood of which 166 of them were listed as a contributor. The 100 who were not contributors would still have to comply with the ordinance but the ordinance gave a lot of leeway for non-contributing structures.

Councilor Munroe said in Mr. Wilson's letter he stated that there was a freeze on property taxes for 10 years.

Amanda said the State of Oregon offered a special assessment program for properties listed on the National Register and individual property owners could opt to join the program which froze property values at the current rate for 10 years, but in order to sign up for the program you had to sign a preservation plan on your house with the State's Historic Preservation Office and commit to do a series of improvements and upgrades. It could be as simple as a new roof but did require a series of things that you wanted to do to improve your property in lieu of the actual property tax increases. This program was only applicable to properties that were listed as contributing within the designated National Registry District.

Councilor Gowing said he had been approached about the traffic from Coiner Park to the back streets getting excessive and speeds were becoming an issue and asked if something could be looked at in that area between the Coiner Park and Bohemia Park.

Councilor Munroe asked if the State was considering doing any work on the approach to the overpass where it was coming out in big chunks.

Richard stated he would be talking with Sonny Chickering from ODOT soon about several issues and he would add this to the list about improving the standards on ODOT property in the Cottage Grove area.

Mayor Williams commented on the unsightliness of ODOT's property in the Cottage Grove area.

BUSINESS FROM THE CITY MANAGER

(a) Letter of Support Not to Change CDBG Method of Distribution for 2010 Grant Period

Howard Schesser, Community Development Director, advised that the consortium that dealt with

the housing rehabilitation laws through a HUD grant learned that a proposed change in the method of distribution for the 2010 Grant Period was not going to allow consortiums which were managed by non-profits to charge interest on the loans. Currently 3% interest is charged for a five year period. The 3% is used to help pay for the long range program management administered by St. Vincent dePaul. The interest helps pay for costs of processing the loan and making inspections, etc. and managing the loan. If they were not able to charge the 3%, St. Vincent dePaul would not be able to manage the program, as most non-profits do not have funding to manage the program.

Lindsey Haskell, 753 North River Road, Cottage Grove, the Cottage Grove citizen representative on the consortium committee spoke to the Council in favor of the Council authorizing the Mayor to sign the letter opposing the proposed action of the Oregon Business Development Department.

Staff was recommending Council authorize the Mayor to sign a letter opposing the changes to the Community Block Grant method of distribution to be presented at the public hearing before the Oregon Business Development Department scheduled for October 7, 2009.

Councilor Fleck said before St. Vincent dePaul began managing the program for the consortium, the block grant program was a mess and he would support the Mayor signing the letter.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILOR MUNROE AND SECONDED BY COUNCILOR FLECK THAT THE MAYOR BE AUTHORIZED TO SIGN THE ATTACHED LETTER OPPOSING THE CHANGES TO THE CDBG METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION.

Councilor Conrad clarified that there was no reason for why this change was being proposed. Staff replied no.

Councilor Clark asked if there was anything that prevented the City from employing St. Vincent to run the program.

Howard advised that the cost was \$4,500 per loan so the City would not have the funds available.

Mayor Williams agreed with Councilor Fleck about St. Vincent dePaul and thanked Mr. Haskell for his testimony and his dedication to the program.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

VOTE	Councilor Fleck	Councilor Gowing	Councilor Munroe	Councilor Murphy	Councilor Clark	Councilor Conrad	Mayor Williams
AYES	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
NAYS							

ABSTAIN							
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

(b) Report from the City Manager

City Manager, Richard Meyers, reported that today was Family Day and hoped that Council was able to have dinner with their families before tonight's meeting.

Richard talked about the shred event that the City would be having with Siuslaw Bank on Saturday, October 17th from 10am-12pm at the Community Center where citizens could bring their items to be shredded. The event was free but the donation of a non perishable food item for Community Sharing was encouraged. In conjunction with that, Monday, October 12th was Columbus Day and the City would be open but will be closing City Hall to allow staff to clean out the basement storage rooms,

Richard reminded Council that Save-a-Lot's grand opening was scheduled for Saturday, November 7th. He also reminded Council about the Visions of the Universe that opened on Friday, September 25th at the library and had their opening event on Saturday, September 26th. Astronomer talks would begin on Tuesday, October 6th and after school movies would begin on Friday, October 2nd.

Richard said on October 10th, the Boys and Girls Club was having their fundraiser "cow pie drop" in the parking lot across from City Hall.

Richard told Council that code updates were available and to bring their code books into City Hall to have Trudy update.

Richard briefed Council on the Armory and said Ordinance No. 1048, adopted by the City Council in April, 1922, approved a change to the City Charter to allow the City to issue Armory Bonds. There were \$15,000 worth of bonds sold to assist in the construction of the Armory building which had to cost at least \$60,000 to build. The City would use this as a tool in the negotiations to purchase the Armory building.

Richard also reminded Council that The League of Oregon Cities Conference starts Thursday, October 1, 2009.

BUSINESS FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY

None

PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

None

CONSENT AGENDA

Councilor Conrad asked to have the minutes from July 13, 2009 removed from the Consent Agenda and replace Pages 9-14.

Councilor Clark asked to have his name added as being present at the meeting.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILOR CONRAD AND SECONDED BY COUNCILOR FLECK TO ACCEPT THE REVISED MINUTES OF THE JULY 13, 2009.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

VOTE	Councilor Fleck	Councilor Miller	Councilor Munroe	Councilor Murphy	Councilor Clark	Councilor Conrad	Mayor Williams
AYES	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
NAYS							
ABSTAIN							

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Mayor Williams adjourned the regular meeting of the City Council at 9:14pm.

The next regular City Council Meeting will be held October 12, 2009, at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers at City Hall.

Trudy Borrevik, City Recorder

Gary Williams, Mayor